1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	HOUSTON DIVISION
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . 4:15-CR-00263
5	VERSUS . HOUSTON, TEXAS
6	ASHER ABID KHAN . DECEMBER 13, 2019
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF RESENTENCING BEFORE THE HONORABLE LYNN N. HUGHES
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	APPEARANCES
13 14	APPEARANCES
	APPEARANCES
14	APPEARANCES FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
14 15	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri
14 15 16	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri Assistant United States Attorneys 1000 Louisiana
14 15 16 17	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri Assistant United States Attorneys
14 15 16 17 18	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri Assistant United States Attorneys 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300
14 15 16 17 18	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri Assistant United States Attorneys 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri Assistant United States Attorneys 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002 FOR THE DEFENDANT: David Adler
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri Assistant United States Attorneys 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002 FOR THE DEFENDANT: David Adler DAVID ADLER PC 6750 West Loop South
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri Assistant United States Attorneys 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002 FOR THE DEFENDANT: David Adler DAVID ADLER PC
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carolyn Ferko and Anna Kalluri Assistant United States Attorneys 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002 FOR THE DEFENDANT: David Adler DAVID ADLER PC 6750 West Loop South Suite 120

APPEARANCES - CONTINUED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR U.S. Courthouse 515 Rusk, Room 8004 Houston, Texas 77002 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

PROCEEDINGS

13:42

13:42

13:43

13:43

13:44

2 THE COURT: Where is your client?

MR. ADLER: He's here.

THE COURT: You don't want him to sit with you?

MR. ADLER: He didn't want to sit with me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Our nation has struggled with terror,

whether the Islamic terrorists of today or the Southern
Separatists in 1861, which caused about 700,000 deaths; the
Haymarket bombing in Chicago in 1886, killing seven policemen
and four civilians; the Wall Street bombing of 1920, 38 people
dead; a Puerto Rican separatist group shooting five members of
Congress from the Visitors Gallery of the House of
Representatives; Timothy McVeigh's bombing of Oklahoma City in
1995, leaving 168 people dead; and, of course, most recently,
the bombing of the World Trade Tower with 2,726 deaths.

In the same class, we have had four presidents assassinated: Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and Kennedy. We have had several known attempted assassinations: Andrew Jackson, who apparently was stubborn enough that the bullet went in a different direction after meeting him; Franklin Roosevelt, the gunman missed, and they got the mayor of Chicago; Truman, they tried to force the front door of the Blair House where the Trumans were living while the White House was refurbished. Kind and gentle Gerald Ford had two people shoot at him that we caught, and, of course, Ronald Reagan,

leaving a speech. 13:44 1 We have survived these attacks on us and our 2 government. We have thrived despite them because we have the 3 rule of law. Our Constitution cabins government, the only way 4 to protect freedom. It is a complex system. 5 13:44 6 presumptions of innocence, public courts, a ban on cruel and unusual punishment, and critically we banned arbitrary 7 government. It has to under the Constitution work through 8 reason, not through anger or power. Our justice serves a 9 10 narrow list of goals. It does not serve revenge. 13:45 11 We are here to resentence Mr. Khan. I have read 12 a whole lot. Ms. Ferko, is there anything in particular you 13 14 would like to add? 15 MS. FERKO: No, Your Honor. Well, Your Honor, counsel 13:45 for the United States --16 17 THE COURT: Would you come here so you can use the microphone without throwing your back out? 18 (Compliance) 19 Is that better? 20 MS. FERKO: 13:45 Yes, ma'am. It should be easier for you. 21 THE COURT: It's a little easier for me, Your Honor. 22 MS. FERKO: 23 THE COURT: I don't expect you to be comfortable in 24 here. 25 MS. FERKO: We just would like to state that as far as 13:46

13:47

13:47

the objections to the PSR, that I do believe that Mr. Adler and the United States agrees that the terrorism enhancement should apply in the calculation of the guidelines, which is one of the reasons we are here for the resentencing.

We would also agree --

THE COURT: It's the only reason we are here but -MS. FERKO: Your Honor, we would also agree, Your
Honor, that the two points was not a part of the appeal, so,
therefore, that the adjusted guideline range for Mr. Khan would
be 38, not 40 as deemed in the original PSR. And that's
indicative of that.

Your Honor, the United States is asking for a sentence of 180 months. We are asking for the lifetime supervision to be imposed upon Mr. Khan's release after such a sentence, and we are also asking for the conditions specifically regarding the lifetime supervision that the probation office has recommended in its appendix to the PSR, which would include, Your Honor, that the defendant must not attempt to contact, communicate, interact with any form of individual of any terrorist organization or especially designated global terrorist entity as designated by the United States.

THE COURT: Slow down.

MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Not everybody hears as fast as you can

talk.

Must provide the probation office with access to any financial information and authorize the release of that financial information, and the probation office will share that -- may share that information with the U.S. Attorney's Office and other law enforcement entities, and that the defendant shall not possess or use the computers as defined in 18 USC 1030(e)(1) or electronic communications or data storage devices, media, unless approved in advance by the probation officer and subject to the probation office's scrutiny and search.

MS. FERKO: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Why don't you just let Putin tell you what he is doing?

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, we feel that these conditions are somewhat standard in these cases as far as the supervision is concerned.

With Mr. Khan, the United States recognizes that the sentence that was initially imposed by this Court of 18 months, Your Honor, that's a 90 percent reduction of the recommended guideline sentence.

The United States believes, based upon the facts that are in the PSR, the additional facts that have been litigated and that are in all of our memorandums that I know this Court has read, Your Honor, Mr. Khan's -- he should be

13:48

13:47

13:47

13:48

13:48

13:48

13:48 13:49 13:49 13:50 13:50

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13:50

sentenced to a sentence of 180 months. He does not deserve the 90 percent discount which was given the first time.

And at this time, I'm welcome to your questions.

THE COURT: What is the upper limit of the --

MS. FERKO: The limit is 15 years, Your Honor, which is 180 months. The actual guidelines exceed the recommendation of the maximum statutory of the sentence that Mr. Khan was facing at the time he committed these offenses.

THE COURT: I may come back to you.

MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Adler?

MR. ADLER: Thank you, Judge.

Ms. Ferko is correct that I have not objected to the terrorism enhancement; however, that should not be interpreted by this Court or anyone in attendance as a signal that I believe the terrorism enhancement is appropriate, but I do think under the current scheme of sentencing, that that enhancement should be applied.

And then, as the probation officer has pointed out, I think, on two occasions in the addendum, even if it is applied, the Court has discretion to sentence Mr. Khan anywhere up to the maximum sentence, and that includes reimposing the sentence that the Court previously imposed.

Mr. Khan's conduct obviously was something the Court considered at the first sentencing hearing, both his

13:50 1 criminal conduct and the other aspects of his life.

Since that last sentencing, Mr. Khan has served his time in prison without disciplinary issues. He has returned to his family and friends. He is reenrolled at the University of Houston and has continued his education and is continuing to work.

So I would ask the Court to consider -- strongly consider reimposing the sentence that the Court found appropriate at the last sentencing hearing because things have only gotten better as far as Mr. Khan's conduct since that time.

And I would remind the Court that Mr. Khan's -some of the factors the Court should consider are Mr. Khan's
age at the time he committed the offense and his maturity at
the time he committed that offense, his family and social
structure. Many of his family are here today. Some of his
friends are here, as well. In fact, I received one letter -- I
just want to read a little portion from it. It is from
Mr. Justice Currey.

Mr. Currey, could you stand up for a moment just to identify yourself? Thank you.

Mr. Currey wrote, "My name is Justice Currey.

I'm a former United States Marine. I am currently a

student" --

THE COURT: There is no such thing as a former marine.

13:51

13:51

13:51

13:51

13:52

13:53

13:53

MR. ADLER: What's that?

THE COURT: There is no such thing as a former marine.

That man is a marine.

MR. ADLER: He is a -- he is no longer serving in the Marine Corps at this time, Your Honor, and currently a student at Lone Star Community College.

"I'm writing in regards to my good friend Asher
Khan. I met Asher back in my early years of high school
through some other friends of mine. Right off the bat, I was
astonished by how kind and accepting Asher was. Sometimes I
would go to youth nights with him and my few friends at the
time. And even though I hardly knew the guy, he vouched for me
and ensured I was included in everything. Throughout the many
years we spent together, he became more than just a good friend
but also an example of behavior and discipline.

"I mean it when I say that his respect for others, and particularly his family, made me take another look at myself. Whenever he spoke to his parents, he did so politely and never spoke back. When it came to his studies, he was dedicated and studious.

"I say that meeting Asher has helped me to become a better person, and I hope that whoever hears this understands the type of person that Asher truly is.

"I am not the best at expressing my thoughts and writing however, but if you would like any more information or

have any questions, feel free to contact me."

13:53

13:53

13:53

13:54

13:54

13:54

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They have a course for that. At the THE COURT: University of Houston, they have a course for that.

MR. ADLER: For expressing oneself?

THE COURT: Repository writing.

MR. ADLER: I may take it after this case.

So his friends and family network remain strong and intact. He was working before this case began. worked during this case. He worked during prison. with the Court a letter from his employer at the prison where he was tutoring other inmates. I don't think I frankly in 26 years have ever gotten a letter from a BOP person willing to vouch for an inmate. And I have also included the letter from his current employer who he continues to work for as a pizza delivery person, I believe.

His volunteer work started before this case began. It has continued through this case and continues to this day. And it is in primarily three areas that he has been volunteering; however, all three have one purpose, one goal, and that is to stop anyone else from making the mistake that he made.

He has spoken weekly at the University of Houston where he and some of the other students set up a table and are willing to discuss some of these issues that online extremist groups use to attract young men and woman into their folds, and

13:54

13:55

13:55

13:55

13:56

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he obviously is a voice with considerable authority about how easy it is to make a misstep and go down the wrong path.

Beyond just speaking to students in person, he agreed to submit to an interview. That is now on the Internet. It is a podcast -- I submitted the link to the Court -- where he talks about how much this decision has ruined his life and how much he does not want to see anyone get suckered into this kind of propaganda.

And then lastly, Judge, Mr. Khan was asked to participate in a program that's actually ironically sponsored by the Department of Homeland Security in which an organization here in Houston is trying to -- well, not trying. established a program where they are training the trainers. And by that, I mean they are training community leaders, religious leaders, school officials, athletic coaches, all of the people that young individuals might come in contact who are being trained to look out for signs that a young person might be susceptible to either extremist propaganda or gang recruitment efforts.

Mr. Khan's insight into how he fell into this and how he made this terrible mistake, I was told by the individuals running this program and that are training the trainers and have created this quide, that Mr. Khan's comments were beyond invaluable; that the people now have firsthand knowledge from a firsthand experienced voice as to what they

13:56

13:56

13:57

13:57

13:57

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should be looking for. And so when these people go out to train other members of the community, the idea is that Mr. Khan hopefully has played a role in stopping other people from doing this, as well as other people from suffering what the victim in this case, Mr. Garcia, suffered and his family has suffered.

The Court is aware that the Fifth Circuit indicated that whatever sentence -- has indicated in a variety of opinions that whatever sentence this Court imposes should be justified. Although Ms. Ferko and the government characterize it as a 90 percent discount, whatever the number is, it is up to this Court to decide. The Supreme Court is clear on that, and that if we are to give any weight at all to both Supreme Court precedent and even the sentencing scheme that the guidelines reflect, this Court has the discretion, so long as it is properly justified, to sentence Mr. Khan to anything up to the statutory max. He served 18 months. The Court felt that was appropriate at the time, and, as I said, I believe it is even more appropriate now, and I know Mr. Khan would again like to address the Court before a decision is made.

THE COURT: Certainly.

Mr. Khan?

THE DEFENDANT: Sir, I wrote my thoughts down, if I may.

THE COURT: Certainly.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I was released from prison

13:58

13:58

13:58

13:59

13:59

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this past June. Prison was nothing like I have ever experienced before. It forced me to think about my life and the many blessings that I have. Your Honor, I realized in prison how much I took freedom for granted. I spent every day wishing I could go back and change my decisions. The quilt ate away at me, thinking how things would have been different if I had just thought rationally rather than emotionally and had not been so close-minded.

I used my time in prison to plan how I could better myself and make the most of my life when I was released. I thought about how I could productively share my experiences in hopes of deterring someone, anyone, from making the same mistakes that I made. I realized how short life really is and nothing is worth throwing your life away for or hurting those who you love and who love you.

Thank you, Your Honor, for giving me the opportunity to speak.

THE COURT: Certainly.

Yes, ma'am?

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, the United States would just like to comment on what Mr. Adler stated and what Mr. Khan just said. Your Honor, the United States' concern is when Mr. Khan committed this offense, he was of a young age and immature and impressionable. Mr. Khan is still of a young age, impressible, and of a concern.

13:59

14:00

14:00

14:00

14:00 25

And while I understand that this Court may not be inclined to change the original sentence of imprisonment, the United States would stress, Your Honor, would ask for then the actual allowable lifetime supervision of Mr. Khan with those conditions.

THE COURT: He is not going to be young forever.

MS. FERKO: I understand, Your Honor. That can maybe be readdressed at a later point in time.

Mr. Khan in his podcast -- I have listened to it -- he comments on the failings of his parents. And the article in the Chronicle that appeared this morning when he spoke to a reporter, he comments on the fact that he wanted -- he knew he was coming back, and that's essentially not true, Your Honor. He knew his parents were tricking him. And, Your Honor, as far as the timing, whether he made a call and realized his mother was there or not, his statement to his friends that were interviewed 15 months after he returned upon his arrest stated that Mr. Khan's mother wasn't at the airport. Mr. Khan's mother was picked up at a hospital off of Beltway 8. Mr. Khan himself told his friend, Mr. Zia, the next day that his parents had lied to him, and, you know, he came back for nothing and that his parents confiscated his passport. And so he wasn't permitted --

THE COURT: Smart parents.

MS. FERKO: It is a smart parent, Your Honor, but it

14:01

14:01

14:01

14:02

14:02

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doesn't necessarily reflect that the defendant's action was one 1 2 of, I have made a mistake, as Mr. Adler says. Mr. Khan never stopped for, at least what the government can prove to this 3 Court if it was done at trial, for an eight-month period after 4 his return of propagation for ISIS and Al-Baghdadi and to 5 6 encourage his friends that this was the right path somehow. 7 Your Honor, while now Mr. Khan is before you 8 saying that, you know, this was a mistake and I'm telling 9

others not to do this because I don't want to go to prison, I'm pretty positive Mr. Khan doesn't want to go back to prison. But, Your Honor, the sentence of 18 months, again, the United States believes is insufficient, and it is a 90 percent discount and that Mr. Khan, while he did plead guilty, Your Honor, and accepted responsibility, there is more to that than just a quick sentence, a short sentence and a short time of -a period of supervised release.

Mr. Khan is telling this Court what it wants to hear, and the articles in the paper that have been written about Mr. Khan, that is Mr. Khan's narrative.

I'm sorry. There is a whole lot of THE COURT: government narrative in there too.

> There is some, Your Honor. MS. FERKO:

THE COURT: There is a whole lot.

But Mr. Khan's narrative is that it was, MS. FERKO: you know -- Mr. Sixto died over there, and that was on him, but

it was at constant encouragement of Mr. Khan to go and fight 1 with ISIS and to join ISIS and I will continue to help you if 2 3 you need it. So, Your Honor, the government is just asking you 4

to consider -- again, the sentence that we're asking for is the full 480 months. Your Honor, we are asking for that lifetime period of supervision, along with those restrictions on the media, on his phone, on his computers with the regulation by the probation department. And if Mr. Khan truly is who he says he is and everything is going to be fine, Your Honor, then that imposition shouldn't be much of one.

Thank you.

14:02

14:02

14:03

14:03

14:03

14:03

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ADLER: Briefly, Judge, I hope Ms. Ferko misspoke when she said she was seeking a 480-month sentence.

MS. FERKO: I'm sorry. 180-month sentence.

THE COURT: She is always very enthusiastic.

MR. ADLER: Judge, we can go back and forth at this probably ad nauseam. I think Ms. Ferko and I, when we are long retired, will probably still not agree on what the appropriate sentence is, with all due respect to her.

THE COURT: You each have distinct roles to play.

I will just say this, Judge: MR. ADLER: government is presenting the idea that Mr. Khan has done these volunteer efforts, given these interviews in an effort to affect public opinion or the Court, I will tell the Court as an 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14:03

14:04

14:04

14:04

14:05

14:05

officer of this Court that the reality is Mr. Khan didn't want anyone to know about his efforts. Okay. He was very reluctant to speak. With all due respect to the media, he didn't want them to know what he was doing at the University of Houston. He -- his decision to get involved with the training program, the City of Houston training program was -- his initial response was: Who is going to know about this? I don't want to bring more shame on my family and myself. He did it for the purest of reasons. He truly does not want anyone to be facing the government on these kind of charges. He truly does not want anyone to be facing prosecution for joining a gang. absolutely recognizes the stupidity, the naivety that he displayed back when he was 19. He is 25 years old now, and I understand that Ms. Ferko feels that that is a young impressionable age. I would point out that --THE COURT: For most of us men, 46 is still young and impressionable. In the Marine Corps, Mr. Khan would be the MR. ADLER:

equivalent of a lieutenant or a captain at this point, so it is not such a young and impressionable age perhaps as the government would want you believe. He has matured. Prison helped mature him. His family has helped mature him. And I think all of that is demonstrated by his sincere desire and the numbers of hours he has put in trying to dissuade people from going down the path that he went down. So I would ask the

14:05

14:06

14:06

14:06

14:07

Court to reimpose the sentence that he has served.

As far as the other conditions, we don't really have a problem with it, frankly, Judge, with the exception of the computer use, to make Mr. Khan ask the probation office every time he wants to use a computer or a cell phone is going to be a monumental amount of added work for the government and for the probation office. But as far as the time that he is on, I think the Court can impose a reasonable amount of supervised release whereby the Court certainly, and perhaps even the government, would be assured that he is not that 19-year-old -- I almost said a word I shouldn't say in court, but it begins with "dumb."

THE COURT: I said that last time. The problem with dumb is it can have very dangerous consequences.

MR. ADLER: No doubt, Your Honor. But my point is I think a term of supervised release can be imposed that ensures everybody, if they are willing to take a fair assessment of Mr. Khan, that he is not going down the road of any criminal conduct for the remainder of his life.

THE COURT: For guideline calculations, he gets the terrorism enhancement. There are reasons to depart downward. A practice, irregular probably, that when the government brings me a nine-defendant case and they want to make a deal with a couple of them, I ask them to order them in significance, and nobody gets a sentence higher than whoever they say is the

So if they want to let the kingpin go back to his yacht after two years, then nobody goes -- there are exceptions because some people have 73 earlier convictions and so that's part of the offense.

Mr. Khan has no criminal history other than the one I gave him. He has, to the best of our knowledge here, no drug use. If he is truly a Muslim, he doesn't drink. studied to improve his mind, and derivatively his judgment, while he was in custody. He is doing it now. He works a very distinguished profession. Who doesn't love a pizza delivery guy? It is conceivable that his effort in dissuading students from fanaticism is a front, but he is doing it, and that's doing some good.

He was impressionable, and I hesitate to make that any direct correlation with age. He was teenage stupid where he exercised abysmal judgment; however, we have to consider that in light of when you use the word "terror."

What he did is talk about Jihadism or unprincipled murder and decided that was more exciting than whatever he was doing in, I quess, high school at that point.

I very carefully read all of the transcripts that I have been afforded of communications between Garcia and himself, and at the end, it's Garcia that's urging him to come back, and don't go away, and this is a great deal. So I think they were equally enthusiastic about it. But Khan, whether he

14:08

14:07

10 14:08

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 13

14

15

14:09

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14:10

14:10

22

23

24

25

14:13

believed the phone call about his mother or not -- whether he likes his mother or not, I don't know, but it doesn't matter. He balked and left. His material support was not through crates of AK-47s or thousands of dollars, much less millions. It was what money he had left over, which must not have been much. He was using some kind of prepaid card to get on the flight back.

As terrorists qo, he has to be on the low end. Had he gotten there and succeeded as a terrorist, he would belong higher up than he belongs here.

And Garcia was not recruited. The conversations make it clear they both were interested. They both wanted to do it. They encouraged each other. It was no different than two guys signing up for the Marines, except for, of course, one has a noble purpose and one has a despicable, inhumane purpose, which is a big difference.

There is no evidence that Mr. Khan possesses any talents of leadership or gunsmithing, logistics of anything that might be an especially useful tool, had he ever made it. And it's hard to remember at which time who is finding whom the most, but some of the conversations are about supporting Iraq against Syria, or Syria against the Kurds. Everybody apparently hates the Kurds.

He has made obvious steps toward rehabilitation. The data are that four out of all of the terrorist convicts

14:14

14:14

14:15

14:15

14:16 25

have committed new crimes after serving their time. They were not necessarily -- in fact, I don't think any of the four who got out went back to terrorism, did they?

PROBATION OFFICER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Two of them in prison, at least one of whom went back to trying to recruit terrorist buddies. But now I have to add that the number who have gotten out is probably relatively small.

Is that 400 who had been released?

PROBATION OFFICER: I don't know, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm not sure whether it is 4 or 500 who have been released, or just the 4 or 500 who have been convicted, but those with life sentences will not be in the position to do that.

He has got good reasons not to go back to committing crime, the education he has been working on. He has friends. And this probably won't sound right, but he has friends who are not family and probably not Muslim. And so he has influences that are important to him that are unlikely to be conducive to his returning to wanting to fight in the Middle East. And I am not in any way suggesting that anybody in the family was doing that, but it is a breadth of support. It's hard to do much that you can say rehabilitates somebody when they didn't do anything significant that you can undo. Bought a plain ticket and made some cell phone calls and then quit

before it started. That's the important consideration. 14:16 1 terror is cowardly and about seven other things that are 2 disgusting and inhuman, we must make sure that we do not in our 3 zeal to punish terrorists become more like them. 4 Somebody famous said, Choose your enemies 14:17 5 6 carefully because you will become like them. 7 His motivation was weak. He left voluntarily and 8 he cooperated with law enforcement. Is that not true? 9 MS. FERKO: Your Honor, Mr. Khan after his quilty plea 10 did speak with law enforcement on one occasion. I don't 14:17 11 believe Mr. Khan had much information to provide at that point. 12 THE COURT: I struggle with low-end people making 13 They don't have anything to offer. 14 MR. ADLER: Ms. Ferko is essentially correct. 15 just add, Your Honor, that it is the defense position that it 14:17 was -- the government was very reluctant to be willing to meet 16 17 with Mr. Khan to hear what he had to say. They were not very interested at the time. Maybe that's changed. I'm sure he is 18 still willing to speak with them but, yes, he did meet with 19 them and answer their questions. 20 14:18 21 THE COURT: The recitation of his activities suggest that he doesn't have a lot to say. 22 He doesn't need a lot of retribution because what 23 he did do was so minuscule. Yes, it could have been worse, but 24 25 I'm not trying him on what he could have done. I'm trying him 14:18

on what he did do. 14:18 1 MS. FERKO: Your Honor? 2 3 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 4 MS. FERKO: I would just say the government -- I quess that's where we deviate in our view of the case in that 5 14:19 6 Mr. Khan --7 That has never happened to us before. THE COURT: 8 MS. FERKO: I understand, Your Honor. But, Your 9 Honor, Mr. Khan did provide -- Mr. Khan is the but-for of this 10 case. Mr. Khan -- but for Mr. Khan, you know, Mr. Sixto 14:19 11 Ramirez wouldn't have known about how to get a passport, how to 12 get the flights. You know, he provided all those direct links 13 14 THE COURT: He helped him, but he made the decision. MS. FERKO: 15 Sure, Your Honor. And then once he left, 14:19 16 Your Honor, but for Mr. Khan providing Mr. Zuhbi, who is also 17 under the indictment, the smugglers' information, the smugglers' WhatsApp chat name and the Facebook contact, you 18 know, Mr. Garcia wouldn't be able to do anything. He would 19 I mean, he didn't have that information. Mr. Khan 20 have left. 14:19 did, and Mr. Khan provided it to him. 21 22 THE COURT: But not commercially. They were friends. 23 He even gave him his money. 24 MS. FERKO: He did, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: And the government says that's material 14:20

support.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

MS. FERKO: Because, Your Honor, the money was given with the instructions to go join ISIS, which is the enemy of I mean, so this is -our state.

THE COURT: He said he didn't have any money left. gave him the money. And he said later on in the conversation, When I get back, if you need more money, call me.

> MS. FERKO: Right.

There was nothing specific about, this is THE COURT: for a rifle, this is for ammunition, this is --

MS. FERKO: But, Your Honor, it was for the purpose for Mr. Garcia to get with ISIS, to become that soldier with ISIS.

THE COURT: He understood that Mr. Garcia was on his way to do something wrong.

MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor, he did.

He understood that, but he is not -- the THE COURT: word "material" in the statute is kind of peculiar. Does it mean material in the fact that it's subject to being touched or whether it is being pulled? Or does it mean it is a significant amount? It's your statute.

Right. I think we would argue that the MS. FERKO: material support that he provided was the instruction, the finances, and actually the person to ISIS. And I think that's what Mr. Khan pled quilty to.

14:20

14:20

14:20

13

15 14:20

16

18 19

20 14:21

22

21

24

23

25 14:21

Yes. But the support he shared was 14:21 1 2 traveling with him and then giving him a phone number when he bailed on him. 3 Was it Mr. Khan who was confined to the house for 4 a while and then confined to a house in the gym at the 14:22 5 6 University of Houston and then slowly expanding pretrial? 7 MR. ADLER: As the Court may recall, I was not the 8 original lawyer on the case. Mr. Berg was and he took 9 employment with the District Attorney's Office. I don't remember, but I think his conditions have generally --10 14:22 11 THE COURT: Well, ask him. (The defendants confers with his attorney) 12 13 MR. ADLER: That is correct. 14 THE COURT: So I let him go buy new underwear so he could go to school. 15 14:22 16 MR. ADLER: That's correct. 17 Which displeased a lot of people. THE COURT: 18 MR. ADLER: I do remember there was a trip to buy some 19 clothes. 20 THE COURT: So, in sum, the nature and circumstances 14:23 21 of the offense, I have covered that in some detail, being as 22 low level and as least significant as I think you could have. The history and characteristics of the defendant are all highly 23 positive. He was -- had a life before he decided to go crazy, 24 25 and when he returned and was apprehended, he had already gone 14:24

back to his normal life and pursued it as best he could in 14:24 1 prison and has pursued it in the six weeks or so he has been 2 Is that about right? 3 out. MR. ADLER: All total it's actually about six months 4 now. 14:24 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14:24

14:25

14:26

14:26

THE COURT: Six months. He went back to doing what he should have done all along with no prodding. He is studying to be seriously constructive and not leaving him any position of, gosh, I'm out now, but I can't make a living doing anything because I didn't even stay in school past the eighth grade, or something. He has done the things to build a responsible self. The offense is serious, but within those that are serious and things that make up most people's impression of terror, the offense is on the extremely low end, the offense, and that's what we should punish, not what other people and other times and frequently other countries have done.

I don't believe there is any reason to further protect the public from the crimes of this man. I don't think there will be any. But he would have to be really stupid to recontact -- please find a seat and make yourself comfortable -- of this nature. And he's getting vocational training in two ways, from the experience of working and from going to college and trying to get qualified.

Needless to say, his criminal history at six is imaginarily very high, but he didn't do anything to earn the

six except commit the crime he committed that was covered by a 14:26 1 2 statute, or a guideline -- it is a guideline -- that magically gives any terrorist a preexisting top-of-the-limit criminal 3 history. So that's overstated. I realize it may sound low, 4 but the experience he has had of a year and a half in prison 14:27 5 6 after an American-teenager, high-school existence was a shock. 7 The statistics that we have are that these 8 crimes, unlike drugs and armed robbery, do not seem to be a 9 permanent part of a person's character. He was motivated to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14:28

14:29

14:30

14:30

join in by a misbegotten imagination of what was going on in the Middle East. He left voluntarily. Actually, he left before he got there, and he cooperated with law enforcement and will continue to do so. Therefore, I vary to an 18-month sentence and five years of supervised release. No fine. No restitution.

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, can I ask, for purposes of the record, are you adopting the findings of the PSR for the quideline calculation?

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. They start with him being a terrorist.

MS. FERKO: Right. And as indicated in the PSR, Your Honor, the guideline range and then the maximum of 180 months.

THE COURT: Most of all, he is a terrorist and he gets the terrorist enhancement. Otherwise, I didn't have to go through explaining why I was departing down from that.

MS. FERKO: That being said, Your Honor, the United 14:30 1 2 States would --Apparently my inarticulation said 19 3 THE COURT: months? I said 18. 4 You said 18. Your Honor --14:30 5 MS. FERKO: 6 THE COURT: Wait. I'm not through. Can you wait a 7 minute? MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Without ease of access to a personal 10 computer and a cell phone would render him unemployable. It's 14:31 11 gone from being an exotic means of doing all kinds of things to just an essential part of any job and most personal lives. 12 he can tell -- he will tell probation his -- it's not his email 13 14 address. It's --MS. FERKO: As far as with the phone, the computer? 15 14:31 THE COURT: You get a number. Where is a computer 16 17 person when I need it. THE LAW CLERK: The IP address. 18 The IP address. That's pretty good for an 19 THE COURT: old person. He will give him the numbers, you know, in his 20 14:32 21 email address, but if he has to appear, it has a little number, which is what they ultimately track. I'm not authorizing you 22 to track him, but you have them if you need to. 23 24 MS. FERKO: I quess what Your Honor -- I think what 25 the appendix was asking for along with -- and I don't want to 14:32

make it sound like he has to call the probation office every time he uses his phone or his computer. I just -- I think that the probation office wanted to have the ability to look at his computer and, you know, ask him if there is a certain application on it or to check on WhatsApp or Kik or any of these multitude of messaging things, if there is any concern by the probation officer during his period of supervised release. I don't think it was a matter of asking whether every time he would log on a computer, he would have to make a

phone call to --

THE COURT: I would ban everybody from using Twitter --

MS. FERKO: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- regardless of anything.

If the probation officer asks him for all the post cards he has gotten in the last six months, he will deliver all the postcards to him. In that sense, he may monitor his mail.

MR. ADLER: We have no problem, as part of the normal supervision duties of the probation office. If they come to visit his house and they say, Let me see your computer, Mr. Khan will comply with that, of course, and the same for the phone and any other electronic devices.

> That's all we are asking, Your Honor. MS. FERKO:

THE COURT: And if he gets two phones, give them both

14:33

14:32

14:32

14:32

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

14:33

22

23 24

25 14:33

of them. And he is forbidden absolutely from buying disposable 14:33 1 2 phones. MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor. 3 4 MR. ADLER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: He needs to do some community service. 5 14:34 6 don't know whether I addressed that last time. 7 MS. FERKO: I don't believe so, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: I like what he is doing, as long as the 9 program works, but sometimes programs run off the tracks and it 10 becomes religious. I'm not against religious programs, but I'm 14:34 not ordering him to do that. The best, which is in serious 11 need, is to teach young people English. If you are bilingual, 12 there are a lot of people who need your help. And get them 13 14 young because, otherwise, they will end up doing crazy things, crazier things. 15 14:35 16 Anything else from the government? 17 MS. FERKO: Your Honor, if that is the pronouncement of your sentence, we just need to object to it. 18 THE COURT: Gosh, really? Thank you. You are always 19 welcome to object to what I do. 20 14:35 21 MS. FERKO: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 Your Honor, we would object to the imposition of this 18-month sentence followed by the five years of supervised 23 release. Your Honor, the United States believes that whether 24 25 that is based upon the 3553(a) guidelines, Your Honor, that is 14:35

I'm aware.

not a reasonable sentence in light of Mr. Khan's actions and in 14:35 1 light of the guidelines -- of the sentencing guidelines, even 2 though it is only --3 THE COURT: The average age of people convicted under 4 that Act is 26. 14:35 5 6 MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor. And, Your Honor, we also would object to the 7 8 downward departure. 9 Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. ADLER: Judge, I just want to make clear for the 14:36 11 purposes of the Fifth Circuit, in case we're headed that way again, that part of your decision today is based on a policy 12 disagreement with the sentencing guideline calculations, or at 13 least the Commission's determination of how the quidelines 14 should be calculated. 15 14:36 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14:36

14:36

The Fifth Circuit has a case called Mondragon-Santiago from 2009 where it said district courts certainly may disagree with the guidelines for policy reasons and may adjust a sentence accordingly.

I just want to make sure that is part of your decision today, as well?

To the extent that I have any idea THE COURT: Yes. what they were thinking when they came up with parts of that, especially the absolutely arbitrary giving people criminal histories that they don't have, I feel very bad about playing

```
let's pretend with people's lives. And so, if they have a
14:37
           1
               criminal history, I will use it. If they don't, it shouldn't
           2
           3
              be used.
           4
                        PROBATION OFFICER: Special assessment?
           5
                        THE COURT: And you have to pay a $100 tax.
14:37
           6
                        MR. ADLER: Yes, Your Honor.
           7
                        THE COURT: He didn't already do it?
                        MS. FERKO: Your Honor, I think it was remitted last
           8
           9
               time.
          10
                        THE COURT:
                                    Oh, well. I guess that's res judicata.
14:37
         11
                        MS. FERKO:
                                    What is that, Your Honor?
                                    That's res judicata then. I can't change
          12
                        THE COURT:
         13
               it.
         14
                             Mr. Khan, you have the right to appeal. You have
         15
               the right to have a lawyer appointed for your appeal and you
14:37
               can appeal without paying costs. There is a long, complicated
         16
               statement about that on the back -- or on the front. Please
         17
               read it. If you understand it, sign it.
          18
                             You understand it is no reflection on you?
         19
         20
                        MS. FERKO:
                                    Yes, I do, Your Honor.
14:38
                        THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure.
         21
         22
                             Anything else, ma'am?
         23
                        MS. FERKO: Nothing, Your Honor. That completes my
              business. If I may be excused?
         24
         25
                        THE COURT: Ms. Kalluri did a beautiful job.
14:38
```